

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/939,145		QIU ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Christopher M. Keehan		1712		

All Participants:

(1) Christopher M. Keehan.

(2) Jian Zhou.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A call was placed to applicant to correct improper claim dependency of claims 5-17, to correct the abstract, and to update the specification as to priority of the application. Applicant agreed to these changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)